Information No. 0411-998-20-8684-00

ONTARIO COURT OF JUSTICE

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

v.

DEIRDRE MOORE

P R O C E E D I N G

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE A. ALDER
ON February 26, 2020 at OTTAWA, ONTARIO

INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN CANNOT BE PUBLISHED, BROADCAST OR TRANSMITTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 517 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA BY ORDER OF JUSTICE OF THE PEACE K. BAUM, ONTARIO COURT OF JUSTICE, DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2020

APPEARANCES:

M. Savage — Counsel for the Crown

Deirdre Moore — In Person

ONTARIO COURT OF JUSTICE

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN CANNOT BE PUBLISHED, BROADCAST OR TRANSMITTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 517 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA BY ORDER OF JUSTICE OF THE PEACE K. BAUM, ONTARIO COURT OF JUSTICE, DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2020

LEGEND

[sic] Indicates preceding word has been reproduced verbatim and is not a transcription error.

(ph) Indicates preceding word has been spelled phonetically

Transcript Ordered:	February 28, 2020
Transcript Completed:	March 2, 2020
Ordering Party Notified:	March 3, 2020

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2020

THE COURT: Mr. Savage, are you next?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, we can deal with Ms. Moore.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Good morning, Your Honour.

MR. SAVAGE: So this matter, it's a charge of breach of recognizance times two in relation to Ms. Moore's residence at Lotus House, or the Bail Bed Program. She's alleged to have not followed the house rules by possessing and consuming alcohol and then being evicted as a result of behaviour at the house, resulting in a breach of the residency condition. She currently has matters before the Superior Court, the substantive matters, which are set for judicial pre-trial today, at which point it's expected that she will discharge her...

DEIRDRE MOORE: Excuse me, it's an application.

MR. SAVAGE: ...her counsel at that time.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SAVAGE: There is currently an NCR Assessment order, and a report is expected soon...

DEIRDRE MOORE: Excuse me.

MR. SAVAGE: ...in relation to the Superior Court...

DEIRDRE MOORE: It's a voluntarily requested order.

MR. SAVAGE: ...in relation to those matters. The Crown position on this file, is that if she's found not criminally responsible on the substantive charges, there would be no public interest in pursuing these breach charges. If she is not found NCR, then we would have to assess the

Crown's position as to how to proceed on this matter. So I would request that it be adjourned until after the report is received in Superior Court as to the status of the NCR finding.

THE COURT: And when is that being done?

MR. SAVAGE: So, I understand the order was made some time ago. Ms. Moore has attended for the requisite assessments. The next date for the return of that report is April 3rd. So we expect the report sometime before then, or then, at that date. Yeah, now I understand that Ms. Moore intends to file some sort of applications here in relation to some sort of removal of myself or to have myself arrested or...

DEIRDRE MOORE: No, it's...

MR. SAVAGE: ...or...

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...it's an affidavit, Sir.

MR. SAVAGE: ...or to...

DEIRDRE MOORE: It's an affidavit.

MR. SAVAGE: ...or to some effect.

THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Moore, just let Mr. Savage finish...

DEIRDRE MOORE: Well he's...

THE COURT: ...and then — and then you're going to get...

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...okay, thank you.

THE COURT: ...your chance.

DEIRDRE MOORE: But he's not using proper leger (ph) — legal jargon.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay.

DEIRDRE MOORE: So I thought...

MR. SAVAGE: Right.

Digital Copy Digital Copy

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...he should use the appropriate legal terms.

MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, so of course the Crown's position is that this is not a time or a place for — for applications as such. This is a judicial pre-trial. If she wishes to set a trial date today, we can of course do that. But the Crown position is that a trial may not be necessary in this case.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. All right, now your turn, Ms. Moore.

DEIRDRE MOORE: I apologize, Your Honour.

THE COURT: That's okay.

DEIRDRE MOORE: I've been dealing with Mr. Savage since July and with all due respect, his use of language is criminal. What I prepared for today is called an affidavit and I did email to Mr. Savage the affidavit this morning and I did bring a copy for your — for the Court. Now I did request a publication ban at the bail hearing because it's sensitive — it's a sensitive issue because the allegations come from what I would describe as a rogue employee who works at the — for the Elizabeth Fry Society.

THE COURT: Okay before we get into any of that.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: As you know this is not a trial. I'm not sitting as a trial — I'm not — have any jurisdiction to hear any type of application. Now I know you mentioned it's an....

DEIRDRE MOORE: No, excuse me, it's not an application, it's just a statement of facts to...

Digital Copy Digital Copy

THE COURT: But what are you asking me to do?
DEIRDRE MOORE: ...well I was — have a one-page summary.
THE COURT: Okay.
DEIRDRE MOORE: And I can — I'll just read it if you like?
THE COURT: No, that's fine. But what is it you're asking me to do?
DEIRDRE MOORE: Well today I would like to book a trial date.
THE COURT: Okay.
DEIRDRE MOORE: And also, I just realized that because there's so much perjury and criminal defamation and obstructing justice in Mr. Savage's testimonies every time he walks into a courtroom, I requested a publication ban at the bail hearing. But I was wondering if you could amend that order so that it could be at my discretion because I intend to use pieces of the transcript, which I've already ordered, as evidence against Mr. Savage when I press charges.
THE COURT: Well that's fine, you can do that even if there is a publication ban. That's not what — a publication ban doesn't stop you from doing that, it just stops you from publicizing — publishing it. But you could use it...
DEIRDRE MOORE: Well I would...
THE COURT: ...if you wanted to.
DEIRDRE MOORE: ...I would be publishing it. I run an advocacy business.
THE COURT: Oh.
DEIRDRE MOORE: Raising awareness on — I have

Digital Copy Digital Copy

multiple things I'm raising awareness on, including corruption in the Crown Attorney's Office, and so that's why I would like the order just to reflect that, since I requested it, much like the mental assessment, I requested it and we'll — we'll be dealing with that this afternoon. If I could just have an adjustment...

THE COURT: Where are...

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...to the order.

THE COURT: ...okay. I'm not going to deal with that today. What I — all I want to know today is we have this breach charge that Crown has told me that there is now an assessment for not criminally responsible in the Superior Court. Is that correct?

DEIRDRE MOORE: I requested on January 8th...

THE COURT: Okay.

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...a mental health assessment upon the advice of my lawyer.

THE COURT: Yes.

DEIRDRE MOORE: And we have been going forward with that and they know the next court date is April 3rd. So I just have met with several of them and I educate them basically on the impact that emotional and psychological abuse has on the victim. And so it's been going very well and I fully expect not only to not be found NCR, the allegations are — are criminal, but I hope to be in a position to actually educate the Court, so perhaps they could better understand when other victims present themselves, how the Crown Attorney's Office seems quite comfortable twisting

Digital Copy Digital Copy

the facts.

THE COURT: Okay, so you understand that what Mr. Savage has said, depending on what that report says, the Crown may or may not proceed with the breach charge?

DEIRDRE MOORE: With all due respect, Your Honour, Mr. Savage — I — I won't even go there. I'm happy to book a trial date today. I'm prepared today. I'm not guilty of the allegations. I could defend myself today, but there's no point in waiting, and Mr. Savage is — I'm in the middle of a toxic divorce. I've been trying to divorce the complainant, from the Superior Court allegations, for seven years. So the strategy appears to be drag out — drag out. I've had 20 court appearances since July 2019. So I really don't need to — to...

THE COURT: Okay.

DIREDRE MOORE: ...delay this. The only thing is, this afternoon I intend to request if — if the Court deems it efficient, that we utilize *Courts of Justice Act* 21.9. I just currently — I'm currently in, you know, six court cases and — and — and counting. So there's CAS and Family Court, there's the divorce file since — since 2015 in Family Court. Now Mr. Savage is very excited that he has seven charges against me in Superior Court, now he's got one in Provincial Court. I've got a civil action against my first Family Law lawyer who provided me with advice that benefited my ex-husband and I have to — because I was robbed of everything I own, when I had fled to the US in May

Digital Copy Digital Copy

of last year. I now have to bring a civil action against the landlord and others, including the complainant. So it's ridiculous the number of times — I should have an office here — and I'm going to respectfully request if we can use *Courts of Justice* 21 9 because with — with leave of the Court other matters beyond, as long as it's within the jurisdiction it can be held in Family Court and we can bring all these allegations of mental health weakness, and criminal activity, and everything else, and we can have it in front of one Superior Court judge who understands all forms of legislation and call in the witnesses and be done with it. It's been four years.

THE COURT: Mr. Savage, you are proceeding with this charge in this jurisdiction?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes. At this time, yes.

THE COURT: All right. So we will have to set a trial date.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you, Your Honour.

THE COURT: Okay, what's the estimate of time?

MR. SAVAGE: The witnesses would be from — from Lotus House, it would be one or two at the most. I understand *amicus* has been — had been appointed in the past, prior to Ms. Moore's retention of Mr. Addelman in Superior Court. I anticipate with the discharge with Mr. Addelman today, that we will be appointing *amicus* again. Should this actually proceed to trial, I think *amicus* would be beneficial for the Court. And so with the involvement of the *amicus* and Ms. Moore and what I've seen of her defence strategies, what should

Digital Copy Digital Copy

be potentially a four-hour trial, unfortunately might take a day or two days, out of an abundance of caution.

THE COURT: All right. Do you agree with that estimate, Ms. Moore? How long do you think this will take? We are just dealing with the breach allegations.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Breach allegation, well it would take as long as it takes any judge to read this binder of materials. I would say it would take that an hour, and then I would imagine the judge would toss it out of court, because I've already provided Mr. Savage with evidence of my innocence, and the fact that he's decided to go — go forward is mind boggling. So it is of zero concern of mine that he wants to even have a trial, other than the fact, that he's really showing his true colours. I mean, it doesn't matter. It doesn't matter if he wants one day, one hour, two weeks, the evidence of my innocence, I have three different defences that I can use, and it's right here. I put together — I have eight exhibits. So I'm not sure what the man is actually doing working for the Crown Attorney's Office, and it's the same thing with the Superior Court file. He has already all the evidence of my innocence.

THE COURT: So one day.

MR. SAVAGE: One day.

THE COURT: We'll set one day.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, can we call for one day, please?

Digital Copy Digital Copy

COURT CLERK: The first available, March 6th, 2020.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Your Honour, I'm out of town at a domestic violence conference in Halifax.

MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.

THE COURT: It should be after April 3rd.

COURT CLERK: Oh, after April 3rd. April 17 is the next available.

DEIRDRE MOORE: I'm sorry, I'm going to have another conference in Vancouver.

COURT CLERK: April 27.

MR. SAVAGE: No, I think these dates are all a little too premature.

COURT CLERK: Can you give me another time? When do you think you can?

MR. SAVAGE: Well we're waiting to see what the outcome of the Superior Court trial is. I think certainly not in April. I'm not available in April.

COURT CLERK: After May, or?

MR. SAVAGE: May or after.

COURT CLERK: Starting in June, maybe?

THE COURT: Do you have any conferences or anything in June?

DEIRDRE MOORE: I do, actually, Your Honour. I haven't finalized my month of June yet, but I have — I'm in Toronto the beginning — just beginning of June and I have — that's it though.

COURT CLERK: June 10 is available.

THE COURT: Is that...

DEIRDRE MOORE: June 10 I would be free, I believe.

THE COURT: ...yeah.

Digital Copy Digital Copy

COURT CLERK: June 10 is good.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

COURT CLERK: And which courtroom? Number 10, okay. It's 20-A8684, last name is Moore, first name is D-E-I-R-D-R-E. Yes, it is. I believe so, Justice Alder is the only JPT judge, right?

MR. SAVAGE: For this matter, yes.

COURT CLERK: Yes. Okay, thank you. Okay, bye. So set for June 10, 10:00 a.m., number 10 for one day.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you, Your Honour. May I take this opportunity to book an application date? So I'll — I'll just bring an application to seek a change in the — in the publication ban given that I have an advocacy group and I could set that as soon as possible, please.

THE COURT: Okay, we can set that I guess. Was it a justice of the peace that imposed the publication ban?

DEIRDRE MOORE: Yes, it was Justice of the Peace Lauzon.

THE COURT: Should it go back in front of her or in front of the justice?

MR. SAVAGE: I'm not sure if it was Justice of the Peace Lauzon. I think it was made after the first appearance.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Oh, oh, you're correct. You're correct.

COURT CLERK: February 13, let me check here, Justice Baum...

DEIRDRE MOORE: I'm sorry.

Digital Copy Digital Copy

THE COURT: ...who issued a publication ban, Justice Baum — Karen Baum.

DEIRDRE MOORE: So I've been given them, how would I spell her name?

THE COURT: It was in Superior Court?

COURT CLERK: B-A-U-M.

MR. SAVAGE: No, no, this is a justice of the peace.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you.

THE COURT: Oh, it's a justice of the peace, all right.

COURT CLERK: That was done February 13, 2020.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you.

THE COURT: Because the only time I've ever it done it; it's come back in front of me.

MR. SAVAGE: Right.

THE COURT: Like comes back in front of the justice who has done it.

MR. SAVAGE: Who has issued it.

DEIRDRE MOORE: That would be....

THE COURT: So what I'm going to suggest what you're going to have to do, unfortunately, is go to the second floor. I have no control or no way of dealing with justice of the peace matters. So if you go to the counter on the second floor and tell them you want to bring an application in front of the justice of the peace, they'll be able to give you the date to do that.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you, Your Honour.

THE COURT: It should be pretty quick, I would think. I don't think the Crown would object.

MR. SAVAGE: No, I just think, Ms. Moore is quite

Digital Copy Digital Copy

prolific in her use of this material. Most of the disclosure ends up online, posted online. She has made complaints against me to the law society, requested that I be arrested from the police. So there is some concern about how the information is disseminated. There was at one time an order that she was not to be posting on social media, which she still seems to be abiding by, which is — which is good, but how she uses this material is of some concern.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SAVAGE: We received a letter in which she was attempting, I think, to run some sort of essay contest with high school students regarding her involvement in this proceeding, or in these proceedings. So how this material then — is then disseminated to other — or used in the public, may have some concern. But we will — we can address that at the proper time.

THE COURT: All right. All right, so do we have a reporting date?

COURT CLERK: They didn't give me one, but if you want, I can get one.

THE COURT: Yes, we should have a reporting date.

MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.

COURT CLERK: Hi, the judge is asking me for a reporting date for this, yeah. June 10, mm-hmm. Okay, perfect. Okay, bye. The reporting date will be April 24, 2:00 p.m., number 8.

DEIRDRE MOORE: And I'm sorry, this is?

THE COURT: It's a reporting date. So it's just the date that you come back, it's usually pretty

Digital Copy Digital Copy

quick. It's just to make sure that everything is in order, everything is ready for the trial to proceed. At that point if you have a copy of your affidavit ready, you could file it with the court, so that the judge who's going to hear the matter on June 10 — and obviously you have to give a copy to Mr. Savage as well — would have a copy of any of the materials you want to rely on in advance. So it helps the trial proceed...

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you.

THE COURT: ...more efficiently because they will have had an opportunity to look at the materials.

DEIRDRE MOORE: And I'm sorry what's the time again, please?

THE COURT: Two o'clock.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Two o'clock.

COURT CLERK: Courtroom number 8.

THE COURT: In number 8.

MR. SAVAGE: And what date was that?

COURT CLERK: April...

THE COURT: The 24th of April.

COURT CLERK: ...24th.

DEIRDRE MOORE: And may I request the same — I don't have the name on me, the *amicus* that was to be appointed in Superior Court. There was a court order that someone be appointed to cross-examine the complainant, who is the man I've been trying to divorce for seven years. Now the Crown ignored that court order and then brought an application to have an *amicus* appointed with much broader powers. So I will be bringing — I'll deal with that when — at another time, likely this

Digital Copy Digital Copy

afternoon, but if I may request a court ordered *amicus* to cross-examine the witnesses that the Crown intends to present for this provincial matter, I would appreciate it as I haven't done provincial cross-examination of — of employees — young — young employees before. I've only cross-examined police officers. So I might be out of my area of expertise.

THE COURT: Okay, the Crown would have brought a 486 application as — *amicus* is two different things. So 486 is under the *Code* for cross-examination of certain witnesses. It's mandatory in certain circumstances. It's discretionary in others. *Amicus* is something completely different. *Amicus* is ordered by the court to assist the court, not to assist you.

DEIRDRE MOORE: I apologize, you're correct. It was the first option. So I have six court cases on the go and I'm — I'm remembering appropriate sections, that can be challenging at times. But yes, there was someone appointed, I believe it was 486, to cross-examine, just — just that particular...

THE COURT: That complainant, yes. That witness.

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...because he's my ex-husband.

THE COURT: Yes, that's why.

DEIRDRE MOORE: So if I could have one of those, because the complainant in this case — I would just prefer not to be the one to cross-examine her. I think it would be very important that someone with more criminal law experience, especially given my allegations of her, I would

Digital Copy Digital Copy

rather confide in a court appointed cross-examination to take care of that part of it. So if I could just set a date to bring that application, I would — I would really appreciate it.

THE COURT: What's the Crown's position?

MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, we would certainly be bringing a 486.3 application.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SAVAGE: But again, I don't want to be setting too many dates on this given the outcome....

THE COURT: I'm prepared to grant it right now, if you want to have one ready for me to sign today.

MR. SAVAGE: I don't have one here right now.

THE COURT: What time are you in Superior Court?

MR. SAVAGE: Two-fifteen.

THE COURT: All right, get me the paper before two-fifteen, I'll sign it and you can give it to Ms. Moore at two-fifteen.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, okay.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.

THE COURT: So you don't have to bring the application. I'm granting the application. There will be a 486-counsel appointed to cross-examine the witnesses that work at Elizabeth Fry, I'm assuming, hang on.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you, Your Honour.

MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you very much.

MR. SAVAGE: Although...

Digital Copy Digital Copy

DEIRDRE MOORE: That will be super.

MR. SAVAGE: ...is it anticipated that Ms. Moore will go and use that to find a lawyer or?

DEIRDRE MOORE: Excuse me, I didn't mention — Mr. Savage, he doesn't really communicate very well, I have already applied to Legal Aid. I have already been looking for a lawyer.

THE COURT: Okay.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Lots of allegations that — of all sorts of things, but I'm in the process...

THE COURT: Okay.

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...of seeking counsel.

THE COURT: We just have to make sure because some people sometimes don't want the 486. I know this is somewhat unusual 'cause you're asking for it and you didn't have to have it in this case. So that's fine, but again — so on April 24th, the judge will want to confirm that you have found a lawyer. So you work with Legal Aid, and there are certain lawyers that will accept these certificates, because they get paid through Legal Aid and that's fine. So it's your responsibility to find the lawyer for the 486 and you are prepared to do that, I understand.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Yes, Your Honour.

THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. That's all Mr. Savage wanted to know, 'cause as I said, in some cases the order I have to make is an order that says Legal Aid will name the lawyer. But if you're prepared to do it, that's fine. You can find someone on your own. You have to find someone obviously who is available June 10th.

Digital Copy Digital Copy

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you, Your Honour.
THE COURT: All right, thank you. So Mr. Savage will bring me the order, you have no problem with him doing that. I will sign it sometime today before two o'clock and then he'll bring it upstairs and give it to you.
DEIRDRE MOORE: Well actually I do. I would like to see the order because it's already happened to me once in Superior Court where the — and that's where again, this afternoon is about, is the order I requested was inaccurately, somehow, inaccurately relayed to the Court and the — the mental health assessment that was court ordered is — is — has — is not factually correct. It's just not factually correct. So it needs to be corrected so I can use it in my other matters.
THE COURT: Two-fifteen in Superior Court you said, Mr. Savage?
MR. SAVAGE: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay, two o'clock, come back to this court. Mr. Savage will have the order, you can look at it. If you are satisfied with the wording, I'll sign it and then you will have the order.
DEIRDRE MOORE: And if not...
THE COURT: Will that work?
DEIRDRE MOORE: ...we'll just deal with it as professionals.
THE COURT: Oh, you should be able to deal with it. It's a form — it's a form that's used for everyone. So it's just going to say that I am appointing counsel for the purpose of cross-

Digital Copy Digital Copy

examination only, that it is all that they can do. That they are to be paid at a rate determined by Legal Aid, and I think that's pretty much all it says.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Now I have — now what happens if I can't find a competent lawyer to actually do this, am I allowed to withdraw my request?

THE COURT: No.

MR. SAVAGE: You see this is — this is...

THE COURT: That's when we get into a difficulty.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Oh well then, you know what, I'll just withdraw my request right now.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay, so this is — this is the issue, is that Ms. Moore has shown a reluctance to follow advice from counsel, which is the...

DEIRDRE MOORE: This is another — these are more allegations.

MR. SAVAGE: ...basis appointment of *amicus*.

DEIRDRE MOORE: There's no evidence.

MR. SAVAGE: Is that she will refuse to accept a lawyer who doesn't permit her to pursue the defences that she wishes to pursue, which the Crown argument would be, are not legal defences. So it's some concern for the Crown that she would be left on her own to find a lawyer, 'cause the concern is she will not find a lawyer who — to her satisfaction — who will be able to pursue the defence that she wants to pursue. So I'm happy to bring the application as a Crown application and then we can find a lawyer to — who will accept that application. But if she's now contesting it

Digital Copy Digital Copy

I just — I think we can address this on the reporting date, if we find a lawyer.

THE COURT: Okay. So what I'll do, we'll sign it in this way for now. You'll have until April 24th to find a lawyer. If you cannot, then on April 24th the Crown will discuss with the judge and ask that the order be varied so that a lawyer is appointed. All right. So you have until the 24th to try to find a lawyer. You have to find someone for Superior Court as well, I understand. Have you started to look? You said you went to Legal Aid.

MR. SAVAGE: No, so....

DEIRDRE MOORE: I believe the Court — Your Honour is asking me a question, Mr. Savage.

THE COURT: Can you answer Ms. Moore? That's fine.

DEIRDRE MOORE: I'm in the process — I had to release Mr. Addelman...

THE COURT: Yes.

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...last week because he was lying to me and providing terrible advice that helped the Crown's position, and he also apparently hadn't actually even reviewed the Crown's disclosure. So it's for those reasons that I release Mr. Addelman and Mr. Savage is well aware of all of this information. I spoke to Legal Aid and we had a lengthy interview and they were clear that they would get back to me in five to ten business days. We have not passed that period of time yet, and therefore, I'm still actively looking for a lawyer. Lots of accusations coming

Digital Copy Digital Copy

from Mr. Savage, however, I require a lawyer who actually understands the Family Rules, the Rules of Civil Procedure and the *Child Youth Family Services Act*, and if I can only find criminal lawyers who are only familiar with criminal law, then they're not going to be able to prepare a full defence...

THE COURT: Okay.

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...forward.

THE COURT: That's for your — your other matter, because in this case, there's really nothing to do with anything about family.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Well, Your Honour, I mean, when it comes to sentencing, and I — I have a defence for intentionally disobeying the illegally obtained child abusing court order of April 8th, 2019. I have a defence for that, but if there's anything I could be guilty of, it's that one charge, and I would very much like to be in a position to introduce the Court to the abundance of mitigating factors that I think any...

THE COURT: And you would have...

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...fair charge....

THE COURT: ...you will have the chance to that. But the lawyer who's being appointed would not help you in anyway for that in any event because the lawyer is only being appointed to cross-examine the witnesses. That's the only reason. It's not an *amicus* appointment.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Well....

THE COURT: So it doesn't matter if they are able to present all of that information 'cause they

Digital Copy Digital Copy

wouldn't be allowed to in any event. All they will be allowed to do is cross-examine the witnesses from Elizabeth Fry.

DEIRDRE MOORE: So having — well it doesn't really...

THE COURT: Well as you said...

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...matter to me.

THE COURT: ...what you're...

DEIRDRE MOORE: Like I said...

THE COURT: ...looking for,...

DEIRDRE MOORE: ...I already...

THE COURT: ...and you told me that earlier, is you want a lawyer who's familiar with criminal law, who's able to cross-examine because you don't have that much experience in provincial court cross-examining witnesses who aren't police officers. So that's exactly the type of lawyer that we have many of and you should be able to find one by April 24th. If not, at that point you can discuss with the judge, and Mr. Savage may or may not continue with his 486, may or may not even continue with this prosecution. So we'll determine it them. So come back at two and we'll look at the order together and I'll sign the order.

DEIRDRE MOORE: Thank you, Your Honour.

THE COURT: Thank you.

...UNRELATED PROCEEDINGS

MR. SAVAGE: Your Honour, its Martin Savage. I have four copies of the order that was discussed this morning for Ms. Moore. I don't see Ms. Moore, here. Perhaps she could be paged.

Digital Copy Digital Copy

COURT CLERK: What is her first name?

MR. SAVAGE: Deirdre.

COURT CLERK: Deirdre, thank you.

THE COURT: You can just have a seat for a minute, thank you.

COURT CLERK: Deirdre Moore, please attend courtroom 9.

MR. SAVAGE: I'll have to leave approaching two-fifteen as that's when we're do in Superior Court. I can leave...

THE COURT: You can leave me an order, just one.

COURT CLERK: Which courtroom are you in?

MR. SAVAGE: Courtroom 30 in front of Justice Aitken.

COURT CLERK: Okay, so I'll...

MR. SAVAGE: But she'll likely be there.

THE COURT: She has to be there too.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

COURT CLERK: Oh, maybe she's there.

...UNRELATED INTERUPTION

THE COURT: All right, so hopefully she'll attend. I see no problem with the order for now, and then if it has to be varied in April, it can be varied in April.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SAVAGE: That's all. I will wait here for five minutes while Your Honour deals with other matters and then I might excuse myself upstairs.

THE COURT: Thank you.

...UNRELATED PROCEEDINGS

Digital Copy Digital Copy

THE COURT: All right, so dealing again with the matter of Ms. Moore. Ms. Moore was supposed to reattend at two o'clock, to look at the order and see if she had any comments she wished to make, on the 486 wording of the order. She did not attend at two o'clock. Counsel is here for the Crown. Mr. Savage indicated she did attend for a pre-trial at 2:15 in Superior Court. She was advised she had to come back to this court. It's now almost ten after three, she has been paged, there's been no response. It's noted, I made the order this morning. I am now signing the draft order that was presented by the Crown. I have no difficulty with it. As indicated, the Crown can send her a copy by registered mail if they wish, and the matter is adjourned to the April 24th day and is indicated, if she has not obtained counsel pursuant to this order, the order can be amended at that point and counsel can be selected by Legal Aid.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SAVAGE: I'll just inquire of the courtroom that the trial date was set in; do you have that information?

COURT CLERK: Can you check the record; I think it was the first one.

THE COURT: I might still have it here.

COURT CLERK: Number 10.

MR. SAVAGE: And that was on which date, sorry?

COURT CLERK: June 10th, 10:00 a.m., number 10 and we set a reporting date for April 24th, 2:00 p.m.,

Digital Copy Digital Copy

number 8.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay, so there is a problem with that date as the Superior Court trial is set for that week, but we can readdress that matter...

COURT CLERK: At the reporting date.

MR. SAVAGE: ...yeah.

THE COURT: All right, thank you and here are photocopies of the order, Mr. Savage, thank you.

MR. SAVAGE: One could be attached to the Information.

COURT CLERK: Sure, thank you.

MR. SAVAGE: So if I may be excused, Your Honour.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you. Thank you for your help today.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

...PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED

Digital Copy Digital Copy

FORM 2

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT (SUBSECTION 5(2))

Evidence Act

I, **COLLEEN McQUARRIE,** certify that this document is a true and accurate transcript of the recording of **Regina v. Deirdre Moore,** in the Ontario Court of Justice, held at 161 Elgin St. OTTAWA, ON, taken from recording 0411_CR09_20200226_090930_6_AlderA, which has been certified in Form 1 by Claire Simard.

(Date)	DIGITAL COPY (Signature of Authorized Person) ACT ID # 7448259565

AUTHORIZED COURT TRANSCRIPTIONIST - MS. COLLEEN McQUARRIE

CONTACT INFORMATION - colmqu@sympatico.ca

Digital Copy Digital Copy