



---

**Appeal Documents || Fw: Draft Notice of Appeal || Fw: Request for Fee Waiver Certificate**

---

**From** Andee Sea Cae Jak <ascjak@outlook.com>

**Date** Wed 2/26/2025 10:44 AM

**To** coa.e-file@ontario.ca <coa.e-file@ontario.ca>

**Cc** Doody, Michelle <MDoody@blg.com>; LElliot@blg.com <LElliot@blg.com>; TCosta@blg.com <TCosta@blg.com>

 4 attachments (21 MB)

Moore v OPSB\_Appellant's Certificate as of 20250226 1006.pdf; Moore v OPSB\_Attempt to Order Transcript as of 20250226 1006.pdf; Moore v OPSB\_Notice of Appeal as of 20250226 1006.pdf; Moore v OPSB\_Request for Fee Waiver Certificate as of 20250226 1006.pdf;

I have managed to make **some** progress with my appeal documents despite my being forced to utilize Ottawa's severely deficient shelter system and a publicly-available computer (because mine were destroyed and/or stolen).

Please find attached my current:

- Notice of Appeal
- Appellant's Certificate Respecting Evidence
- Appellant's Request for a Fee Waiver Certificate and
- Appellant's Attempt to Order Transcript

These documents are also linked to the main Appeal page at <https://twb.rocks/domestic-terrorism/perpetrators/entities/ottawa-police-service/2025-appeal-ops>.

I hope to be in a position to improve these documents; however, it is my hope that these documents may be filed "as is" given the obvious mis-carriage of justice and my current, orchestrated circumstances.

Please acknowledge receipt, service of the documents on the opposing party's counsel and filing that meets legislated requirements, given your ability to overlook minor deficiencies with respect to *Courts of Justice Act*/Rules of Civil Procedure compliance.

Deirdre Moore / Andee Sea Cae Jak  
President, SAQOTU Inc. #DrainingTheSwamp

---

**From:** Andee Sea Cae Jak <ascjak@outlook.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 26, 2025 8:11 AM

**To:** coa.e-file@ontario.ca <coa.e-file@ontario.ca>

**Cc:** Doody, Michelle <MDoody@blg.com>; LElliot@blg.com <LElliot@blg.com>; TCosta@blg.com <TCosta@blg.com>; Mark.Sutcliffe@ottawa.ca <mark.sutcliffe@ottawa.ca>; doug.fordco@pc.ola.org <doug.fordco@pc.ola.org>; Joshua.Gauthier@ontario.ca <joshua.gauthier@ontario.ca>;

OttawaSCJ.TC.Office@ontario.ca <ottawascj.tc.office@ontario.ca>

**Subject:** Draft Notice of Appeal || Fw: Request for Fee Waiver Certificate

As detailed yesterday, I must serve and file my Appeal documents on a best-efforts basis as a "contingency plan" due to the cesspool that is Ottawa's legal-judicial community (see introduction to gangstalking at [www.twb.rocks/gang-stalking](http://www.twb.rocks/gang-stalking) and realize that their efforts to de-rail me have increased exponentially).

Accordingly, please see attached four images (my current Notice of Appeal). I will endeavor to serve and file a complete set by end of day.

Verily,  
Deirdre Moore



# NOTICE OF APPEAL TO AN APPELLATE COURT BETWEEN

Deirdre Moore  
and  
Ottawa Police Services Board

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE APPELLANT, Deirdre Moore, APPEALS to the Court of Appeal from the judgment of Justice Kerry Lee McVey dated January 27, 2025 made at the Superior Court of Justice in Ottawa, Ontario.

THE APPELLANT ASKS that the judgment be set aside and a judgment be granted as follows:

1. An Order dismissing the order which states "should Deirdre Moore file material seeking to commence or continue a proceeding or any appeal in any court in Ontario without first filing an entered Order permitting her to do so, the proceeding shall be immediately stayed upon any person filing a copy of this Order in such a Court".
2. An Order dismissing the order for costs awarded against Deirdre Moore ("Whistleblower")
3. An Order for a Summary Judgment against the Ottawa Police Services Board ("TIPCU") as requested in Whistleblower's CV-21-00087056
4. An Order for costs on a full-indemnity basis.

THE GROUNDS OF APPEAL are as follows:

1. The judge erred in fact. For example, while each of Her Honour's sentences contained in her 20250127 decision ("Ruling") at paragraph(5) were "technically correct", the lack of acknowledgement of the seven Motions which occurred throughout 2023

1/4

(D.M. v. OPSB NoA cont'd)

render the paragraph—

Statement of fact. as a whole — an entirely false

The seven Motions included an attempt to obtain Third-party Records ("TPRs") from TIPCU which is normally a part of an Affidavit of Documents and/or a Discovery process. Vexatious (and criminal) behaviour by TIPCU's legal representatives at Borden Ladner Gervais LLP, however, resulted in the denial of these records. Undeniable evidence of this fact was long-ago published at [www.twb.rocks/the-darkumentary](http://www.twb.rocks/the-darkumentary). An Errors, Omissions and Malicious Obfuscation Analysis (EO & MO) of Ruling will evidence that Justice Kerry Lee McVey's 20 (of the 35) paragraphs used to justify the Ruling's conclusion were false statements of fact.

2. The judge erred in law. For example, TIPCU brought its vexatious Vexations Application on 20230131 prior to amendments made to s. 140 of Ontario's Courts of Justice Act ("CJA") via the Enhancing Access to Justice Act, 2024 ("EAJA"). The EAJA amendments were not retroactive and, therefore, shall not be relied upon for TIPCU's CV-23-00091267. On 20250127, Her Honour erred by imposing the court's newly-expanded 2024 powers to TIPCU's 2023 Application which, arguably, intentionally misquoted the then-existing legislation through its clearly poor use of grammar and/or punctuation ... perhaps to mislead the 2023-appointed judge.
3. The judge erred in mixed law and fact. For example, Her Honour's 2-sentence interpretation (at paragraph [16]) of her 7-point description of "the salient characteristics of vexatious proceedings" (at paragraph [15]) included only:

2/4

(D.M. v. OPSB NoA cont'd)

- a) that which TIPCUP need not demonstrate and
  - b) [un-evidenced] "outside the courtroom" conduct which the court may consider.
- Her Honour ignored her own leading-case requirement noted in her paragraph [15]:

"(e) ... the Court must look at the whole history ..."

As stated in GROUNDS (item 1), exclusion by TIPCUP of any reference to Whistleblower's seven 2023 Motions prevented the court from looking at "the whole history": scheduling its Application to be heard without Whistleblower's involvement ensured the court would remain ignorant of "the whole history".

THE BASIS OF THE APPELLATE COURT'S JURISDICTION is:

1. For reasons including, but not limited to, those discussed above under sub-headings "The judge erred in [fact, law and mixed law and fact]", despite Justice McVey's paragraph [36] points 2-5, Whistleblower's right to appeal Her Honour's Ruling falls within the jurisdiction of Ontario's Court of Appeal.
2. CJA's s. 134(1) states that "a court to which an appeal is taken may
  - a) make any order or decision that ought to or could have been made by the court or tribunal appealed from
  - b) order a new trial
  - c) make any other order or decision that is considered just.
3. CJA's s. 134(2) states that "on Motion, a court ... may make any interim order that is considered just to prevent prejudice to a party pending the appeal"; and, Whistleblower intends to bring a Motion to Adduce Fresh Evidence.
4. CJA's s. 133 does not restrict Whistleblower's right to Appeal given Rulings paragraph [36] item 6 and paragraphs [37] - [41].
5. CJA's s. 134(5) empowers Ontario's Court of Appeal in a fashion similar to SCJ's "broad judicial powers" and/or OJC's "broad sweeping powers".

3/4

(D.M. v. OPSB NoA cont'd)

6. Her Honour's Ruling is deemed to be a final Order.
7. Leave is not necessary for reasons including, but not limited to, those noted in GROUNDS (for Appeal) and BASIS (Of jurisdiction)
8. Canada's Charter of Rights and Freedoms exists — despite all efforts made by Ottawa's legal-judicial community that it does not.
9. Ruling lacked jurisdiction in multiple ways including, but not limited to, its restriction of ~~Whistleblower's~~ <sup>Whistleblower's</sup> ability to commence Applications, Certiorari and/or Appeals in the Ontario Court of Justice and/or Superior Court of Justice for her ongoing criminal matters which are entirely due to:

February 26, 2025

Deirdre Moore  
Deirdre Moore

ascjak@outlook.com

4/4

**From:** Andee Sea Cae Jak

**Sent:** Tuesday, February 25, 2025 4:05 PM

**To:** coa.e-file@ontario.ca <coa.e-file@ontario.ca>

**Cc:** Doody, Michelle <MDoody@blg.com>; LElliot@blg.com <LElliot@blg.com>; TCosta@blg.com <TCosta@blg.com>; Gauthier, Joshua (MAG) <Joshua.Gauthier@ontario.ca>; Ottawa SCJ TC Office <OttawaSCJ.TC.Office@ontario.ca>; Rodgers, David (MAG) <David.Rodgers@ontario.ca>; Hannough-Bergmans, Lauren (MAG) <Lauren.Hannough-Bergmans@ontario.ca>; malcolm.savage@outlook.com <malcolm.savage@outlook.com>; Cheryl Hess <CHess@bellbaker.com>; Charlotte Watson <cwatson@bellbaker.com>; John Summers <jsummers@bellbaker.com>

**Subject:** Request for Fee Waiver Certificate

Good afternoon,

My intention is to serve and file **final**, pdf versions of my:

1. Notice of Appeal (partially drafted and pre-published at <https://twb.rocks/domestic-terrorism/perpetrators/entities/ottawa-police-service/2025-appeal-ops/opsb-notice-of-appeal>),
2. Appellant's Certificate Respecting Evidence (partially drafted and pre-published at <https://twb.rocks/domestic-terrorism/perpetrators/entities/ottawa-police-service/2025-appeal-ops/opsb-appellants-certificate>) and
3. signed and commissioned Request for a Fee Waiver Certificate (pre-published at [https://twb.rocks/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/20250225-Request-for-Fee-Waiver-Certificate\\_Not-Signed-Commissioned\\_OPSB-Appeal.pdf](https://twb.rocks/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/20250225-Request-for-Fee-Waiver-Certificate_Not-Signed-Commissioned_OPSB-Appeal.pdf))

for an orderly appeal of an Order granted by SCJ's Justice Kerry Lee McVey in my absence as partially detailed at <https://twb.rocks/domestic-terrorism/perpetrators/individuals/kerry-lee-mcvey>.

To complete this task, however, I must:

- obtain information about the oral testimony, affidavits and/or exhibits that were served, filed, referenced and/or delivered at the 20250117 hearing (held behind my back) and
- find an Ottawa-based individual who is willing to commission my Request for a Fee Waiver Certificate (see unsigned request at attachment #2).

At this time, the former is being obfuscated by the opposition's counsel **and** Caselines (see attachment #3 and/or e-mail stored at [https://twb.rocks/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/20250225-1121-Email-to-CaseLines-and-BLG-Michelle-Doody-re-Obstructing-Justice-Denial-of-access-to-records-filed-for-20250117\\_SAQOTU.pdf](https://twb.rocks/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/20250225-1121-Email-to-CaseLines-and-BLG-Michelle-Doody-re-Obstructing-Justice-Denial-of-access-to-records-filed-for-20250117_SAQOTU.pdf)) and the latter may prove difficult due to the fact that

"[a 2017 SCJ decision that ruled in my favour] upset all [Ottawa lawyers] .... [because my] ... case law was about to crush their revenue stream ... and they've been collectively terrorizing me ever since"

as noted in para. #101 of materials stored at <https://twb.rocks/domestic-terrorism/perpetrators/individuals/john-kiska/fc-15-2446/mag-fc-15-2446-divorce-files> . Ergo, I am "persona non grata" at many law firms in town.

Also, (often) when I publish **this much** evidence against Ottawa's terrorist network, I get arrested and am unable to proceed. Therefore, I am delivering this material to you in advance of completion/finalization on a "best-efforts" basis in case there is more "monkey business" that causes more delays between now and my deadline. That way, any subsequent request for leave to appeal will have both context and supporting evidence.

Verily,

Deirdre Moore / Andee Sesa Cae Jak  
President, SAQOTU Inc. #DrainingTheSwamp