ONTARIO

Superior Court of Justice, Family Court

(Name of Court)

Court File Number

FC-15-2446-0

at 161 Elgin Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K2P 2K1

(Court office address)

Form 10: Answer

Applicant(s)

Full legal name & address for service — street & number, municipality, postal code, telephone & fax numbers and e-mail address (if any).

Jonathan William Kiska 1244 Lampman Cr Ottawa, Ontario

K2C 1P8

Lawyer's name & address — street & number, municipality, postal code, telephone & fax numbers and e-mail address (if any).

Katie Laframboise **Bell Baker LLP**

700-116 Lisgar

Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0C2

Tel: (613) 237-3444 Fax: (613) 237-1413

klaframboise@bellbaker.com

Respondent(s)

Full legal name & address for service — street & number, municipality. postal code, telephone & fax numbers and e-mail address (if any).

Deirdre Ann Moore 1244 Lampman Cr Ottawa, Ontario

K2C 1P8

Lawyer's name & address — street & number, municipality, postal code, telephone & fax numbers and e mail address (if any).
FILED SUPERIOR COURT

Victor Ages Vallance LEF JUSTICE AT OTTAWA

112 Lisgar Street

Ottawa, Ontario K2P|0C2

JAN 29 2016DK

Tel: 613-238-1333

Fax: 613-238-8949

DÉPOSÉ À LA COUR SUPÉRIEURE DE JUSTICE À OTTAWA

tpompilio@vavlawyers.com

Box 192

INSTRUCTIONS: Financial Statement

COMPLETE A FINANCIAL STATEMENT (Form 13) IF:

- you are making or responding to a claim for spousal support; or
- you are responding to a claim for child support; or
- you are making a claim for child support in an amount different from the table amount specified under the Child Support Guidelines.

You must complete all parts of the form UNLESS you are ONLY responding to a claim for child support in the table amount specified under the Child Support Guidelines AND you agree with the claim. In that case, only complete Parts 1, 2 and 3.

COMPLETE A FINANCIAL STATEMENT (Form 13.1) IF:

- you are making or responding to a claim for property or exclusive possession of the matrimonial home and its contents; or
- you are making or responding to a claim for property or exclusive possession of the matrimonial home and its contents together with other claims for relief.

TO THE APPLICANTS: Jonathan William Kiska

If you are making a claim against someone who is not an applicant, insert the person's name and address here.

AND TO: (full legal name)

an added respondent,

of (address of added party)

My name is (full legal name)

Deirdre Ann Moore

- I agree with the following claim(s) made by the applicant: (Refer to the numbers alongside the boxes on page 4 of the application form.)
 - 20 equalization of net family property
- I do not agree with the following claim(s) made by the applicant: (Again, refer to the numbers alongside the boxes on page 4 of the application form.)
 - 11 support for the children, 13- custody of the children, 14 access of the children, 21- exclusive

possession of matrimonial, 30- costs, 32- prejudgement interest, 50 - other.
3. X I am asking that the applicant's claim (except for the parts with which I agree) be dismissed with costs.
4. X I am making a claim of my own. (Attach a "Claim by Respondent" page. Otherwise do not attach it.)
5. X The FAMILY HISTORY, as set out in the application,
is correct X is not correct
(If it is not correct, attach your own FAMILY HISTORY page and underline those parts that are different from the applicant's version.)
6. The important facts that form the legal basis for my position in paragraph 2 are as follows: (In numbered paragraphs,
set out the facts for your position.) Set out below.
Put a line through any blank space left on this page
Date of Signature Constant C
Nespondent's signature

Ellion to a constant of the many formands	CLAIM BY RESPONDENT	
	n against whom you are making your claim(s).	
7. THIS CLAIM IS MADE AGAINST		
THE APPLICANT		
AN ADDED PARTY, whose na	me is (full legal name)	
(If your plaim is against an added party	make sure that the person's name appears on	nago 1 of this form
		page 1 of this form.)
8. I ASK THE COURT FOR THE FOL (Claims below include claims for tempo		
Claims under the Divorce Act (Check boxes in this column only if you are asking for a divorce and your case is in the Superior Court of Justice or Family Court of the Superior Court of Justice.)	Claims relating to property (Check boxes in this column only if your case is in the Superior Court of Justice or Family Court of the Superior Court of Justice.)	Claims relating to child protection
00 X a divorce	20 X equalization of net family Properties	40 access
01 X support for me	21 exclusive possession of matrimonial home	41 lesser protection order
02 X support for child(ren) - table amount	22 exclusive possession of contents of matrimonial home	42 return of chid(ren) to my care
03 support for child(ren) - other than table amount	23 freezing assets	43 place child(ren) into care of (name)
04 X custody of child(ren)	24 X sale of family property	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
05 X access to child(ren)	_	44 children's aid society ward-
		ship for months
		45 society supervision of my
Claims under the Family Law Act or		child(ren)
Children's Law Reform Act	Other claims	,
10 X support for me	30 X Costs	
11 X support for child(ren) – table amount	31 annulment of marriage	
12 support for child(ren) – other than table amount	32 X prejudgment interest	
13 X custody of child(ren)	33 claims relating to a family	
14 X access to child(ren)	arbitration	
15 restraining/non-harassment Order		
16 indexing spousal support		
17 declaration of parentage		2
18 guardianship over child's property	~	
50 X other (Specify.)		

Give details of the order that you want the court to make. (Include any amounts of support (if known) and the names of the children for whom support, custody or access is claimed.)

An assessment under section 30 of the Children's Law Reform Act, if necessary.

1. An order for a divorce;

- 2. An interim and permanent order for joint custody of the children of the marriage, namely, Sean Kiska (born May 8, 2006) and Cate Kiska (born November 30, 2007);
- 3. An interim and permanent order that the children reside with both parent in an equal timesharing arrangement on a 2/2/3 schedule or other schedule that the Court deems appropriate;
- 4. In the alternative, an order that the parties jointly retain the services of professional to prepare a custody and access assessment report that provides recommendations to the Court as to an appropriate parenting schedule;
- 5. An interim and permanent order for equal division of holiday time between the parties including Christmas, March Break, Easter holiday, Thanksgiving, etc.;
- 6. An interim and permanent order that either party is prevented from removing the children permanently from the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa without the written consent of the other parent or a Court Order;
- 7. An interim and permanent order for child support payable pursuant to the Federal Child Support Guidelines having regard to the parenting arrangements in place;
- 8. An interim and permanent order that the children's special and extraordinary expenses be paid by both parties in proportion to their respective incomes as set out in section 7 of the Federal Child Support Guidelines;
- 9. An interim and permanent order that the Applicant pay spousal support to the Respondent in an amount to be determined;
- 10. An interim and permanent order requiring the Applicant to maintain a policy of life insurance in an amount sufficient to secure his child and spousal support obligations and that he designate the Respondent as irrevocable beneficiary thereof in trust for the children;
- 11. An order for equalization of the parties' net family properties;
- 12. An order for the partition and sale of the jointly held matrimonial home located at 1244 Lampman Cr., Ottawa, Ontario, K2C 1P8;
- 13. An order for costs of this matter on a substantial indemnity basis.
- 14. An order for pre-judgement interest in accordance with the Courts of Justice Act.
- 15. Such further and other orders as this Honourable Court deems just.

IMPORTANT FACTS SUPPORTING MY CLAIM(S)

(In numbered paragraphs, set out the facts that form the legal basis for your other claim(s).)

Background:

- 1. The parties began cohabiting in 1997 and were married on July 22, 2000 and separated on September 23, 2015. They have two (2) children of the marriage namely, Sean (age 9) and Cate (age 8).
- 2. Both parties have a background in finance. The Applicant ("Husband") holds a Bachelor of Commerce and a Chartered Professional Accountant Designation. The Husband also holds a Master's in Business

FC-15-2446-0

Administration. The Respondent ("Wife") holds a Bachelors in Business Administration and has achieved her Chartered Financial Analyst designation.

- 3. Shortly after the parties were married, the Wife left her full time position with National Bank Financial to become an independent management consultant. She set up a consulting business, AdvisorOnTrack ("AOT"), which she operated as a sole proprietorship until January 2004, when she then incorporated this company.
- 4. Around this same time period, the Husband lost his position with InTouch Survey Systems and also decided to become an independent consultant. The parties began invoicing clients under AOT and have primarily earned dividend income from AOT since that time. The parties each own 50% of the shares of AOT and are both officers of the company.
- 5. Following the birth of their children, the parties agreed that the Wife would work from home so that she could also focus her attention on childcare responsibilities. However, given the nature of their work as independent contractors and the fact that the Wife had been working as a consultant with AOT for a longer period by the time that the children were born, she had to take on more consulting work than was initially anticipated resulting in the Husband also taking some time off to be at home with the children in the first few months of their son's birth in 2006.
- 6. By 2007, the Husband had built up his reputation and client base and has since that time being the primary contractor for AOT allowing the Wife to focus her attention on the care of the children. The Wife is highly attuned to each child's routine and needs and has always ensured that her children are her top priority. For example, the Wife:
 - a. Breastfed both children: Cate until she was 12 months old and Sean until he was 4 months old (as he had difficulty nursing). Once the children were no longer breastfeeding, the Wife would prepare healthy meals for the children by making her own baby food;
 - b. Managed the children's healthcare needs including:
 - Scheduling medical and dental appointments on her days off from work and taking the children to all appointments;
 - ii. Administering the children's medications;
 - c. Managed the children's education and childcare needs including:
 - i. Researching appropriate pre-school arrangements for the children;
 - ii. Registering them in nursery school and pre-kindergarten programs;
 - iii. Developing home schooling materials for phonics and music;
 - iv. Assisting and supervising the completion of homework. The Husband took on a larger role in assisting with homework completion however the Wife plays a larger role in piano instruction as well as supporting French homework as the bilingual parent;
 - d. Taking the children to and from school every day as well as to their various activities (piano, organized sports, etc.). The Mother and children also enjoy frequent visits to the library;
 - e. Researched and registered the children in various activities including playgroups;
 - f. Purchased clothes, toys, crafts, books, and other education items for the children including supplies required for school;

- g. Planned and organized the children's birthday parties;
- Planned and organized activities for holidays such as Canada Day (picnics, t-shirt making, etc.);
- Purchased gifts for the children to bring to friend's birthday parties
- Ensured the children had family dinners on the weekends, and would organize dinners at the home so that both sets of their extended families would attend;
- Was responsible for purchasing most of the gifts for the children including Christmas presents, Easter presents and birthdays;
- Shared the responsibilities surrounding the children's grooming including bathing the children, washing/combing through their hair and cutting their nails;
- Sharing responsibility for putting the children to bed and ensuring that they followed their bedtime routine including brushing their teeth, reading bedtime stories, and snuggle time before going to sleep;
- Prior to hiring a part-time housekeeper in 2012, assuming the majority of the household management tasks including:
 - i. Preparing the family meals;
 - ii. Shopping for family groceries;
 - iii. Preparing the children's lunches and snacks;
 - iv. Washing and organizing the children's clothing;
 - v. Keeping the home tidy, clean, and organized.
- Taking turns in washing the dishes and cleaning up the kitchen after meals.
- 7. Even after the children began attending school full time, the Wife continued to work part-time so that she could maintain an active role in the children's care including:
 - a. Driving them to and from school each day;
 - b. Driving them to playdates and birthday parties;
 - Organizing playdates at home;
 - Attending all medical and dental appointments related to the children;
 - Taking the children to piano lessons and assisting them with their practice at home;
 - f. Attending school concerts, events, and activities;
 - Organizing special events and adding special touches such as preparing themed cakes, cookies, clothing, and homemade invitations;
 - Encouraging the children to lead an active lifestyle by taking them on walks and bike rides and registering them in sports programs suited to their interests and abilities;
 - Encouraging the children to explore the arts through participation in crafting and music;
 - Encouraging the children to make healthy food choices by discussing nutrition.
- 8. The Husband was generally away from the home each weekday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The parties would share in the evening routine with the children when the Husband returned home from work such as homework assistance, bath and bedtime routine, as well as taking children to extracurricular activities on weekends.

The Respondent's Medical Condition:

- 9. In 2013, the Wife began experiencing mental health issues. These changes began around the same time that the Wife began experiencing symptoms of menopause.
- 10. The mental health issues experienced by the Wife since 2013, have led approximately 3 instances where the Wife required extended hospitalization following episodes where she was experiencing delusions and paranoid thoughts.
- 11. The Wife's most recent hospitalization, as described in further detail below, has confirmed that the Wife suffers from psychosis non-otherwise specified (NOS).
- 12. In the Husband's material, he describes the circumstances surrounding each hospitalization in great detail and tries to depict the Wife as being unstable and uncooperative when it comes to her medical treatment. The Wife does not deny that she had delusional episodes which resulted in her being hospitalized. However, the Wife's position is that the Husband's materials do not accurately reflect the facts/issues surrounding the symptoms which led to periods of hospitalization in 2013, 2014 and the most recent one in October 2015.
- 13. It is these inaccurate statements that continue to be made by the Husband that the Wife feels were misinterpreted by her previous physicians who relied heavily on the Husband's account of the Wife's behaviour. The Wife believes that these inaccurate statements led to her initial improper diagnosis of Bipolar Disorder in 2013 and 2014.
- 14. The Wife acknowledges that she was not compliant with taking her prescribed medication following her release from hospital in 2013 and 2014. The reason for this was that she adamantly opposed the diagnosis of Bipolar disorder at the time. This was well documented in her medial file yet the nurses and doctors would not discuss the Wife's concerns with her. Instead, they insisted that the Wife take medication that was not doing anything to treat her delusions and was in fact making her feel worse.
- 15. It was for this reason that the Wife contemplated moving to Gatineau in 2014. She hoped that by establishing a residence in Quebec that she could seek a second opinion of her medical situation. The Wife put a down payment on first and last months' rent on a condominium in Gatineau in the fall of 2014 and took other steps to establish residency in Quebec. This also coincided with the Wife advising the Husband that she wanted a divorce in the summer of 2014.
- 16. In September 2014, the Husband pleaded with the Wife not to end their marriage. The Wife gave up her condominium in Gatineau and the parties focused on reconciling their relationship.
- 17. The Applicant was symptom free for approximately one (1) year. Then, on September 23, 2015, she came across some hospital notes in her medical file that summarized a telephone call placed by the Husband on July 10, 2014, describing her behaviour. The Wife was upset and shocked to see that the Husband had misrepresented various incidents. She confronted the Husband about these statements and explained that some of the incorrect statements which suggested she was experiencing manic and depressive periods were likely what led to her spending the entire summer of 2014 in hospital.
- 18. The Wife felt extremely betrayed and advised the Husband that their relationship was over. She asked the Husband to assist in correcting his inaccurate statements in her medical file as she explained that it was her belief that this contributed to her improper diagnosis. The Husband initially advised her that he would contact the hospital to correct his statements but then did not do so.

FC-15-2446-0

- 19. In the days that followed, the Wife began to experience delusional thoughts as the stress in the household continued to build and she became fearful of the Husband. By October 9, 2015, her delusional thoughts had increased and she was so fearful that she decided to take the children to the Hilton Hotel at the Lac Leamy Casino in Gatineau for 2 days as this was a place that she felt that she and the children could be safe given the high level of security onsite.
- 20. While the Wife was at the Hilton Hotel with the children, the Husband called her cell phone on numerous occasions and left aggressive messages which only heightened her delusions. At one point, the Husband phoned the police and had officers come to the hotel room to check in on the children. The police left once they determined that the children were fine.
- 21. The following day, the Husband contacted the Wife again and was in a calmer state resulting in the Wife agreeing to return home with the children. As soon as she arrived at the home, the Husband became very hostile causing the Wife to fear that he was going to take the children from her which is why she blocked the Husband's vehicle from being able to exit their driveway. At that point, the Husband phoned the police who attended at the home to de-escalate the conflict. A referral was made to the Children's Aid Society.
- 22. That same evening, the Wife got into her vehicle and drove herself to the Civic Campus of the Ottawa Hospital. She remained in hospital from October 11, 2015 to November 12, 2015.
- 23. The Wife's Discharge Summary Report indicated that the working diagnosis was Psychosis NOS (not otherwise specified), query schizoaffective disorder, query bipolar disorder. Upon her release, the Wife was referred to the Psychiatry Outpatient Program for treatment. Her treating psychiatrist is now Dr. Mercer, whom the Wife has seen on three (3) occasions since December 2015. The Wife is to continue seeing Dr. Mercer every 4 to 6 weeks. After 3 follow up appointments with her psychiatrist, the Wife's diagnosis has now been revised to Psychosis NOS.
- 24. The Wife has been prescribed medication which she continues to take daily. The Wife's physicians recognize that her delusional episodes are triggered by heightened states of anxiety. The Wife recalls that before each instance where she was hospitalized, she and the Husband had been engaged in significant high stress periods, specifically:
 - a. In 2013, the parties had a severe argument whereby the Husband was yelling/screaming at the Wife and implied that he would divorce her;
 - b. In 2014, the parties had a series of arguments about several different topics including the household budget which resulted in the Husband accusing her of being manic;
 - c. In 2015, the parties argued about notes that the Wife came across in her medical file that summarized a conversation between the Husband that provided inaccurate statements which she believes contributed to her mis-diagnosis.
- 25. Now that the Wife's physicians have been able to more accurately pinpoint the Wife's condition and triggers that led to her delusions, they have prescribed Latuda (anti-psychotic) which the Wife continues to take daily. They have also prescribed Clonazepam (anti-anxiety) and Zopiclone (sleeping aid), to be taken if necessary.
- 26. As part of her treatment plan, the Wife's psychiatrist has also recommended that the Wife get back to exercising including attending yoga classes to assist her with managing her stress levels.

FC-15-2446-0

27. The Wife has also obtained a referral to a social worker so that she can have access to regular counselling in between her appointments with Dr. Mercer.

Custody and Access:

- 28. In spite of the Wife's updated diagnosis and her full cooperation in her treatment program, the Husband has continued to attempt to limit the Wife's interactions with the children.
- 29. The Husband initially took the position that the Wife was to have only supervised contact with the children. He refused to allow the Wife to spend any time alone with the children and refused to allow her to resume her regular parenting responsibilities such as transporting the children to/from school, taking them to activities, etc. The Husband claimed that this was necessary to protect the children from their mother and that he was acting on the advice of the Children's Aid Society ("CAS") when in fact the CAS attended at the home following the Wife's release from hospital in November 2015 and confirmed that there was no need for supervision.
- 30. In December 2015, the Husband finally agreed to allow the Wife to resume her parenting duties. However, his behaviour toward the Wife in the home has become increasingly inappropriate and hostile. This includes:
 - a. Making inappropriate comments to the Wife in front of the children such that she is forced to leave the room to ensure that the children are not exposed to any further conflict;
 - b. Constantly interrupting when the Wife spends time with the children and attempting to redirect the children's attention to himself;
 - c. Making comments to the children about their mother in an attempt to portray her as strange or someone that they should be afraid of;
 - d. Threatening to tape record conversations between himself and the Wife and threatening to telephone the police whenever she attempts to converse with him about issues pertaining to their separation including suggesting they participate in mediation.
- 31. The Wife has done her best to maintain a level of normalcy in the home for the children however this is becoming increasingly difficult. The children are young but they are perceptive. The Wife believes that the children would benefit from counselling to assist them in processing any concerns/emotions they may be feeling surrounding their parents separation. Counselling would also assist in helping the children to understand the issues that the Wife experienced with her mental health from a neutral third party.
- 32. The Wife has tried her best to work cooperatively with the Husband within the home for the sake of the children. However, it appears to the Wife that the Husband's focus has been on tainting the children's perception of their mother and making them feel that she is someone that they should be fearful of which is inappropriate and confusing to the children. The Wife has also come across an email exchange between the Husband and an individual at the Schizophrenia Society of Ontario wherein the Husband is seeking a referral for counselling for the children. In this email, the Husband indicates that it is very important for him that the children understand that the primary reason for their parents separation is the mother's illness and "nothing to do with their dad". The Wife is very concerned about the inappropriate messages that the Husband is relaying to the children about her.
- 33. Both parents have been actively involved in the care and upbringing of their children. The Husband

himself has suffered from anxiety/stress-management from time to time during the marriage. In spite of their health issues, both parties have remained committed and involved parents. Anything less than a shared parenting arrangement would be a significant departure from the status quo that the children experienced throughout the marriage.

34. The Wife's position is that it is in the best interests of the children that both parents maintain an equal role in their lives and she is therefore seeking joint custody and a residential schedule that would allow the children to reside with their parents on a 2/2/3 schedule.

Child Support:

- 35. The Wife's position is that child support should be paid in accordance with the Federal Child Support Guidelines in an amount to be determined having regard to the parenting arrangements in place and the income of the parties. Section 7 expenses should be paid in proportion to the parties' incomes.
- 36. The Wife is requesting that the Husband be required to obtain and maintain a life insurance policy in an amount that is sufficient to cover his child support obligations for the children and that he designate her as the irrevocable beneficiary in trust for the children.

Spousal Support:

- 37. The parties are joint shareholders of a management consultant company, AdvisorOnTrack Inc. Throughout their marriage, they have both drawn equal amounts of dividends from this company which was the family's primary source of income.
- 38. Given the separation, it is unclear what will happen with respect to this business and/or whether the parties will continue to run the business together.
- 39. It is the Wife's position that she is entitled to spousal support both on a needs and compensatory basis.
- 40. The parties jointly decided that the Wife would take on a less active role in the business by working part-time so that she could focus her primary responsibility on the care of the children.
- 41. As a result, the Husband has developed a larger client base and has had an increased opportunity to market himself and his skills in the Ottawa area. The Wife will need an opportunity to transition back to full-time employment and will require spousal support to supplement her income. It is difficult to know at this point what type of income she will be able to earn in relation to the Husband's income.

Equalization of Net Family Property:

- 42. The parties do not hold significant assets with the exception of the jointly held matrimonial home located at 1244 Lampman Cr. and their investments. These assets will have to be valued and equalized.
- 43. The Wife is prepared to agree to the Husband purchasing her interest in the matrimonial home provided he is able to arrange to do so within a relatively short timeframe. Otherwise, the home should be listed for sale as soon as possible so that the parties may access the significant equity in this property to purchase new residences for themselves and the children.
- 44. In November 2015, the Wife purchased a home located at 12-25 Bayswater Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario. The Wife did so to assist a friend who had defaulted on his mortgage. She purchased the home from the owner for an advantageous price. Her friend continues to live in the home and pays all expenses related

to this property. When purchasing this home, the Wife used some of the parties' joint funds, specifically \$40,000 from a joint line of credit, to fund part of the down payment on this property. The Wife acknowledges that she is solely responsible for the \$40,000 and that there will have to be an adjustment made to the equalization payment to reflect this.

Husband's Claim for Exclusive Possession of the Family Home/Police Enforcement:

- 45. The Wife has been transparent and forthcoming about her current medical diagnosis and treatment plan. She has provided the Husband with a copy of her discharge summary report that confirms her current diagnosis following her most recent hospitalization. She also provided the Husband with her treating psychiatrist's report and recommendation for treatment.
- 46. The Husband has made a claim for exclusive possession of the home which is unnecessary in the circumstances. He has made regular threats to engage in emergency court proceedings to have the Wife removed from the property which is only adding to the conflict and stress in the home.
- 47. The parties continue to live separate and apart in the matrimonial home. The Wife moved down to the basement and set up a room for herself there on September 23, 2015. In an effort to minimize her interaction with the Husband and any potential conflict, the Wife has recently begun sleeping at a friend's home in the evenings after the children go to bed. On Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays she makes sure to return to the home every morning by 6:30 a.m. so that she is present when the children wake up and available to engage in their care. On Tuesdays and Thursdays the Wife attends yoga classes as part of her therapy, however, she is home by 8:00 a.m. to take the children to school.
- 48. The Wife has attempted to fairly divide weekend time with the children by spending Saturday afternoon and Sunday morning with the children while the Husband spends Saturday morning and Sunday afternoon with them; however, the Husband often interferes with the Wife's parenting time.
- 49. The parties are joint tenants of the matrimonial home and are both entitled to occupy this property. The Wife acknowledges that she suffers from mental health issues and she is receiving treatment for same. Her struggles with mental health over the past 3 years in no way justify her removal from the property.
- 50. Similarly, the Husband's request for police enforcement of any access arrangements determined by the Court is also unnecessary. The Wife's reasons for taking the children to the Hilton Lac Leamy Hotel in Gatineau are described above. This was an isolated occurrence. The Wife is not a flight risk and in no way poses a risk to the children.
- 51. The Wife is prepared to consent to an order that provides that neither party can permanently remove the children from the City of Ottawa without the written consent of the other parent or Court Order.

Put a line through any blank space left on this page	
Date of signature	Respondent's signature
LAWYER'S C	ERTIFICATE
For divorce cases only	

My name is: Tania Pompilio

and I am the lawyer for (name) Deirdre Ann Moore


in this divorce case. I certify that I have complied with the requirements of section 9 of the Divorce Act.







For information on accessibility of court services for people with disability-related needs, contact: Telephone: 416-326-2220 / 1-800-518-7901 TTY: 416-326-4012 / 1-877-425-0575



 I agree with the following claim(s) made by the applicant: 	2. I do NOT agree with the following claims made by the applicant:
Under the Divorce Act	Under the Divorce Act
00 a divorce	00 a divorce
01 support for me	01 support for me
02 support for child(ren) – table amount	02 support for child(ren) – table amount
03 support for child(ren) – other than table amount	03 support for child(ren) – other than table amount
04 custody of child(ren)	04 custody of child(ren)
05 access to child(ren)	05 access to child(ren)
Family Law Act or Children's Law Reform Act	Family Law Act or Children's Law Reform Act
10 support for me	10 support for me
11 support for child(ren) – table amount	11 X support for child(ren) – table amount
12 support for child(ren) – other than table amount	12 support for child(ren) – other than table amount
13 custody of child(ren)	13 X custody of child(ren)
14 access to child(ren)	14 X access to child(ren)
15 restraining/non-harassment order	15 restraining/non-harassment order
16 indexing spousal support	16 indexing spousal support
17 declaration of parentage	17 declaration of parentage
18 guardianship over child's property	18 guardianship over child's property
Claims relating to property	Claims relating to property
20 X equalization of net family properties	20 equalization of net family properties
21 exclusive possession of matrimonial home	21 X exclusive possession of matrimonial home
22 exclusive possession of contents of matrimonial home	22 exclusive possession of contents of matrimonial home
23 freezing assets	23 freezing assets
24 sale of family property	24 sale of family property
Other claims	Other claims
30 costs	30 X costs
31 annulment of marriage	31 annulment of marriage
32 prejudgment interest	32 X prejudgment interest
33 claims relating to a family arbitration	33 claims relating to a family arbitration
Claims relating to child protection	Claims relating to child protection
40 access	40 access
41 lesser protection order	41 lesser protection order
42 return of child(ren) to my care	42 return of child(ren) to my care
43 place child(ren) into care of (name)	place child(ren) into care of (name)
44 children's aid society wardship	44 children's aid society wardship
45 society supervision of my child(ren)	45 society supervision of my child(ren)
50 other	50 X other

,		FAIVILY HIS	TORY		
APPLICANT: Name: Jonathan William Kisk	a		Age: 55	Birthdate: (d. i	m, y) September 29, 1960
Resident in (municipality & province		wa. Province of Ontar		Difference (a)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
since (date) 1963			.10		
Surname at birth: Kiska					
Surname just before marriage: K	iska				
, – –		(Place and date of previou	us divorce)		
RESPONDENT/JOINT APPLICA	NT:				
Name: Deirdre Ann Moore			Age: 50	Birthdate: (d,	m, y) September 28, 1965
Resident in (municipality & province					
since (date)					
Surname at birth: Moore			=		
Surname just before marriage: M	loore				1
Divorced before? X No	Yes	(Place and date of previou	us divorce)		
RELATIONSHIP DATES:					
X Married on (date) July 2	2, 200	0 X s	Started living	together on (da	ite) <u>Early 1997</u>
X Separated on (date) Septer	nber 2	3, 2015	Never lived to	gether X	Still living together
				Н	
THE CHILD(REN): List all children	involve	ed in this case, even if no c	claim is made f	or these children	
Full legal name	Age	Birthdate	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	dent in ty & province)	Now Living with (name of person and relationship to child)

Full legal name	Age	Birthdate	Resident in (municipality & province)	Now Living with (name of person and relationship to child)
Sean Charles Kiska	9	May 8, 2006	Ottawa, Ontario	Both parents
Cate Stella Kiska	8	November 30, 2007	Ottawa, Ontario	Both parents
		,		
		5		

Court File Number

FC-15-2446-0

Superior Court of Justice, Family Court

(Name of Court)

at	161	Elgin	Street,	Ottawa,	Ontario,	K2P 2	K1
	101		Dereces	O centrug	Ontain,	ILMI M	

(Court office address)

CONSENT

Applicant(s)

Full legal name & address for service — street & number, municipality, postal code, telephone & fax numbers and e-mail address (if any).

Jonathan William Kiska

1244 Lampman Cr Ottawa, Ontario

K2C 1P8

Lawyer's name & address — street & number, municipality, postal code, telephone & fax numbers and e-mail address (if any).

Katie Laframboise

Bell Baker LLP

700-116 Lisgar

Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0C2

Tel: (613) 237-3444

Fax: (613) 237-1413

klaframboise@bellbaker.com

Respondent(s)

Full legal name & address for service — street & number, municipality, postal code, telephone & fax numbers and e-mail address (if any).

Deirdre Ann Moore 1244 Lampman Cr

Ottawa, Ontario K2C 1P8 Lawyer's name & address — strept & number, municipality, postal code, telephone & fax numbers and e-matt plates till appendix postal code.

Tania Pompilio

OF JUSTICE AT OTTAWA

Victor Ages Vallance LLP

112 Lisgar Street

JAN 2 9 2016DK

Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0C2

Tel: 613-238-1333

DÉPOSÉ À LA COUR

SUPÉRIEURE DE JUSTICE À OTTAWA

Fax: 613-238-8949

tpompilio@vavlawyers.com

Box 192

I. Jonathan William Kiska

(Applicant/Respondent)

Agree to extend the time for filing with the court the (name(s) of document(s)):

- 1. Answer;
- 2. Affidavit in Support of Claim for Custody or Access;
- 3. Financial Statement; and
- 4. Certificate of Financial Disclosure

by Deirdre Ann Moore

(Applicant/Respondent)

Latel Laframbise

to be filed by

February 1, 2016

pursuant to Rule 3(6).

(Date)

Dated at

The City of Ottawa, Province of Ontario (Place)

on

January 28, 2016

(Date)

Applicant or Applicant's Lawyer

Respondent or Respondent's Lawyer

ONTARIO

Superior Court of Justice, Family Court (Name of court)

Court File Number

FC-15-2446-0

at 161 Elgin Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K	K2P	2K1
---	-----	-----

(Court office address)

Form 6B: Affidavit of Service sworn/affirmed

_			January 14, 2016
	licant(s) gal name & address for service — street & number, municip	olitu I ovuvorio nomo P oddr	ress — street & number, municipality, postal code,
	igai name & address for service — street & number, municip I code, telephone & fax numbers and e-mail address (if any).		ess — street & number, municipality, postal code, ers and e-mail address (if any).
Jon	athan William Kiska	Katie Laframbo	
	4 Lampman Cr	Bell Baker LLP	,
	awa, Ontario	700-116 Lisgar	
K20	C 1P8	Ottawa, Ontari	o K2P 0C2
		Tel: (613) 237-3	444
		Fax: (613) 237-1	
		klaframboise@	bellbaker.com
Res	pondent(s)		
Full le	egal name & address for service — street & number, municip I code, telephone & fax numbers and e-mail address (if any).	tolophone & fox number	ress — street & number, municipality, postal code,
	rdre Ann Moore	Tania Pompilio	ress — street <u>& number, municipality, postal code,</u> ers and e-mail address (if any) EED SUPERIOR COURT
Des America	4 Lampman Cr	Victor Ages Val	UF HISTICE AT OTTAIN
	awa, Ontario	112 Lisgar Stre	et
	C 1P8	Ottawa, Ontari	
		Tel: 613-238-13	DÉPOSÉ À LA COUR
		Fax: 613-238-89	
		tpompilio@vav	
lliv			
•	icipality and province) The City of Ottawa, P	rovince of Ontario	
	I swear/affirm that the following is true:	40.00	
	On (date) January 14, 2016, at (time)		, I served (name of person to be served)
	The Applicant, Jonathan William Kiska, by v		e Laframboise
,	with the following document(s) in this case: (List	the documents served.)	
	Name of document	Author (if applicable)	Date when document signed, issued, sworn, etc.
1	Answer	Respondent	January 14, 2016
	Certificate of Financial Disclosure	Respondent	January 14, 2016
Į			
	NOTE: You can leave out a	any part of this form that	is not applicable.
2.	served the document(s) mentioned in paragraph	h 1 by:	
	x special service. (Go to paragraph 3	below if you used special ser	vice.)
	mail. (Go to paragraph 4 if you used	mailed service.)	
Checi box o	cone courier. (Go to paragraph 5 if you use	ed courier.)	
	indicated denosit at a document exchange	(Go to paragraph 6 if you use	ed a document exchange.)
, 9	through an electronic document ex exchange).	change. (Go to paragraph 7	7 if you used an electronic document

Michael Dam

Province of Ontario

January 14, 2016 (date)

(province, state or country)

Tania Pompilio

in