

29. On or about October 9, 2015, the Applicant returned home to find that the Respondent and the children were missing. The Applicant called the police, who were able to locate the Respondent by tracking her cell phone to the Lac Leamy hotel and casino in Gatineau.
30. On or about October 10, the Applicant was informed by the Ottawa Police that the Respondent had attended the station in order to file criminal harassment charges against him. The Police later closed their file and no charges were laid. Later that night, the Applicant received a phone call from his son indicating that the Respondent had left gifts by the front door of their home to encourage the Applicant to "turn himself into the police".
31. The Respondent later brought herself to the Ottawa Hospital, Civic campus, and was admitted under voluntary observation. She remained in the hospital until November 12, 2015.

#### Child Support

32. There are two main issues to consider in order to determine child support- the Applicant's income and the Respondent's lack of effort to find employment. Prior to separation, the Parties operated a business together. The Applicant has continued his business under a new corporation, and anticipates that his 2017 income will be roughly \$120,000.00. The Respondent is able to work and has held a number of contract positions since the initial separation (See Tabs K and N). Despite this, the Respondent refuses to look for any type of remunerative employment. The Applicant has paid \$15,000.00 per month to the Respondent for the support of the children. He had little income for the month of May as he was occupied reviewing and defending the constant emails, requests and amendments made by the Respondent. There are no special or extraordinary expenses at this time.

#### Spousal Support

33. The Respondent is a Chartered Financial Analyst. As is evidenced by the various contract positions she has held since separation, the Respondent is very capable of working. Although able to work, the Respondent remains unemployed. The Applicant believes that the Respondent should have an appropriate level of income imputed to her for spousal support purposes.

This Exhibit T referred to in the  
Affidavit of Deirdre Moore,  
sworn before me at the City of Ottawa, this  
17 day of April, 2018.  
Sylvia L. Laid  
A Commissioner for taking affidavits