## dw.cceh@outlook.com

**From:** Deirdre Moore <dw.cceh@outlook.com>

**Sent:** Friday, May 29, 2020 8:00 PM

To: Law, James (MAG)

Cc: Gonen Snir; MacDougall, Tara; Wade Smith; debora@scholey.ca; lawsociety@lso.ca;

Andee Sea Cae Jak; jwhite@pfi.rocks; Third Man Records

Subject: || RE: Re-SENT > No availability in June + a third Motion || RE: family & CYFSA motion

**Attachments:** Additional judicial officials to be sequestered for my defence

Mr. Law,

Obviously, Mr. Gonen's request should not be heard as a procedural motion. This issue requires a full motion and it <u>may</u> <u>not</u> be accommodated via teleconference. Would you like to understand the reasons why?

## In no particular order:

- 1. As per Family Law Rule 4(13), Mr. Snir should not have served evidence; however, as viewable at <a href="http://pfi.rocks/202005p5-2-002/">http://pfi.rocks/202005p5-2-002/</a>, he did. Accordingly, he is guilty of violating section 126(1) of the *Criminal Code* of Canada <u>Disobeying a Statute</u>.
- 2. As per the Ministry of the Attorney General, the "commissioner's stamp" on the exhibits within Mr. Snir's libelous Affidavit is not remotely credible as a proper stamp: it excludes the location (required) and includes his name! Accordingly, he is guilty of, among other things, violating section 366(2) Making a False Document. (This conspicuous error also brings into question the credibility of Justice Mark P. Shelston ("Shelston") and Justice Tracy Engelking ("Engelking"); both whom have ignored my evidence and ruled against me in the past. Note that I have named them, as well as Justice Julie Audet ("Audet"), as witnesses for my defence in the my criminal matters (see attached 20200529 18:40 e-mail "Additional judicial officials to be sequestered for my defence").
- 3. If Mr. Snir wanted to bring a Motion by teleconference, then he should have utilized Family Law Rule 14(8) Motion by Telephone or Video Conference. As evidenced by this e-mail thread, he did not. This can be interpreted as his second count of Disobeying a Statute as well as support for my upcoming allegations that Engelking and Shelston are guilty of, among other things, violating Section 21(1) of the *Criminal Code* of Canada, Parties to Offense.
- 4. Mr. Snir served and filed two Form 14Bs (Notice of Motion) and two Form 14As (Affidavits); however, these forms are used to support Motions for Temporary Orders under the Family Law Rules. That which Mr. Snir seeks are not Temporary Orders under the Family Law Rules. He is seeking Orders under the Rules of Civil Procedure; specifically, Rule 15.04 (pasted below for your convenience).
- 5. As per Rules of Civil Procedure's Rule 16—Service of Documents—Mr. Snir's "service" of his ridiculous Motion materials by e-mail was improper: he has essentially claimed that he believes me to be a "mentally incapable person" (see paragraph 15 here: <a href="http://pfi.rocks/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/000015KJ SCJ-FC-15-2446-Kiska-vs-Moore-Affidavit-May-22-2020.pdf">http://pfi.rocks/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/000015KJ SCJ-FC-15-2446-Kiska-vs-Moore-Affidavit-May-22-2020.pdf</a>). Accordingly, Mr. Snir's only option for service was the engagement of my Power of Attorney for both Health and Property\*, Jack White (cc'd on this e-mail via vault@thirdmanrecords.com and jwhite@pfi.rocks).
- 6. Obviously, I am not a "mentally incapable person"; however, I will not reveal my current address to Mr. Snir. Accordingly, I consent to service by e-mail—assuming Gonen Snir acknowledges his criminal activity and my superior (to his) intellectual capacity as evidenced here: <a href="http://pfi.rocks/to-gonen-snir-002/">http://pfi.rocks/to-gonen-snir-002/</a>.
- 7. Mr. Law, here is *Courts of Justice Act* Form 37A: Notice of Motion—perhaps you boys should try again.

  Teleconference is not an option; plus, I do not currently have a working phone and do not intend to get one until I re-install a land-line phone when I return to Ottawa in July; safely reunited with my two children, Sean and Cate.

Do let me know the dates and times of our three, upcoming, post-July 4, 2020 Motions.