

20250404

Friday

Ms. Brenna Fox,

re: Records vs. Reality & Files vs. Facts

(hand-delivered to
Brenna Fox at 09:55
on 20250407 -TM)

The number of "interviews" we have had since my 20250322 arrival at ECMCC's Zone 5-2 equals two:

- a) on 20250325 from 10:53 to 11:30, your interview began by asking me "Have you ever been hospitalized?"; and, ended with you stating "Returning your clothes should be no problem" and "You've given me a lot to think about".
- b) on 20250404 from ~10:15 to 10:40, I interviewed you. I requested the meeting and prepared the enclosed agenda.

During the 20250404 interview, you claimed that I did not give you any chance to reply and claimed that my interview notes were deficient. (Conducting an interview without being able to record prevents detailed note-taking.)

Furthermore, your refusal to answer questions — and merely attempt to re-direct me to less important issues — began at section C: Combined-Drugging Risk Profiles. You actually attempted to claim that your proposal did not combine any drugs.

Brenna, I can read. Your proposed drug combinations are listed in:

- a) your scandalous 20250324¹ "Treatment Over Objection Evaluation" ("TOOE") contained in ECMCC's 20250327 court submission (see CS1 10.001) and
- b) Paula Feroleto's scandalous² 20250402 decision at page 2. (See CS3)

Despite the fact that pathological lying is a top characteristic of sociopathy, I would like to provide to you another opportunity to answer my 18 questions. My intention is to quote you accurately; so, kindly provide to me your responses — in writing — prior to my next court appearance on Tuesday, April 8, 2025, whichever comes first.

encl. Moore's 20250404 Agenda, Fox's 20250404 Preliminary Responses, ECMCC 20250327 court documents improperly served 20250328 (documents 1-4, 6 only), ECMCC 20250325 BH-Treatment Plan, ECMCC 20250327 court documents improperly served 20250329 (document 5, 7 only)

Verily,

Teildie Moore
President, SAQOTU Inc.

#) Draining The Swamp

¹ dictated 22 hours prior to our "first interview" which was adjourned, on consent, because you agreed that proceeding (a) in a dining room full of patients, (b) while lunch was being served, (c) without reading the chart was [ridiculous].

² Feroleto's claim that ECMCC had the "burden of proof" when it filed zero evidence while I filed 93 pages speaks volumes about NYS' "concerns" regarding protecting patient rights.

³ I am challenging my status, seeking an appeal, ^{and} as informed 20250403 12:45, an injunction.

31.001 / 31.003