
6 Weeks+ || Fw: Confirmation || Fw: Status of forced Case Management Conference? || Fw: 20210609 endorsement, Para. 16(b) || Re: Urgent || Fw: Court-ordered next steps for FC-15-2446 ...

From Andee Sea Cae Jak <ascjak@outlook.com>

Date Tue 8/5/2025 9:55 AM

To Ottawa SCJ TC Office <ottawascj.tc.office@ontario.ca>

Cc Cheryl Hess <chess@bellbaker.com>; Catherine Laham <claham@bellbaker.com>; John Summers <jsummers@bellbaker.com>

It's been over six weeks since I first began attempting to get Family Court to acknowledge and act upon its own 20210609 endorsement (see below). Would you prefer that we continue this decade-long divorce some other way? Would you prefer that I seek leave to bring Motions:

- to Amend my Answer?
- to Sell the Matrimonial Home?
- to Amend the Interim Support Order?
- to enlighten my two court-napped children?

Kindly (a) select the process that Ontario's Superior Court of Justice insists that I follow to obtain a divorce and (b) reveal to me what that custom process is.

Deirdre Moore / Andee Jak

President, SAQOTU Inc.

www.twb.ROCKS/party-to-offence



From: Andee Sea Cae Jak <ascjak@outlook.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 9:52 AM

To: Ottawa SCJ TC Office <ottawascj.tc.office@ontario.ca>

Subject: Confirmation || Fw: Status of forced Case Management Conference? || Fw: 20210609 endorsement, Para. 16(b) || Re: Urgent || Fw: Court-ordered next steps for FC-15-2446 ...

Bonjour Family Court!

Instead of *repeatedly* asking for the court-endorsed Case Management Conference to be scheduled (see thread and links below) so my scandalous 10-years-and-counting divorce can proceed, would you simply admit that:

- a) I am being denied a divorce in Canada,
- b) all protections from domestic violence codified in Canada's *Divorce Act* are systemically circumvented by Ontario's Superior Court of Justice (as partially evidenced at <https://twb.rockspolitical-persecution/family-court>),

- c) my ability to seek the damages permitted in my 2018 Amended Answer (ie. for my husband's multiple crimes and torts) will never see the light of day and
- d) despite the 2017 OCL recommendation that I receive sole custody of my children, Sean & Cate, the complete removal of me from their lives will continue so they will never learn the truth about what their father, the police and the courts have done to silence me (as partially evidenced at <https://twb.rocks/political-persecution>)?

Thank you.

Deirdre Moore / Andee Jak
President, SAQOTU Inc.
www.twb.ROCKS/party-to-offence



From: Andee Sea Cae Jak <ascjak@outlook.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 8, 2025 1:05 PM
To: Ottawa SCJ TC Office <ottawascj.tc.office@ontario.ca>
Cc: Cheryl Hess <chess@bellbaker.com>; Catherine Laham <claham@bellbaker.com>; John Summers <jsummers@bellbaker.com>
Subject: Status of forced Case Management Conference? || Fw: 20210609 endorsement, Para. 16(b) || Re: Urgent || Fw: Court-ordered next steps for FC-15-2446 ...

Bonjour!

It's been two weeks since I submitted *another* urgent request to Family Court (see details at <https://twb.rocks/archives/y2025/m202506/2025-06-26>). If:

- an evidence-based amended Amended Answer,
- the correction of an illegally-obtained fraudulent support order and
- the ending of parental/child alienation

are too complex for a case management conference, we could start with the simple task of addressing the overdue occupational rent (see relevant case law and legislation at <https://lamfamilylaw.ca/2024/10/28/from-non-chhom-v-green-to-today-navigating-occupation-rent-claims-in-ontario/>).

It should be a slam dunk for any legitimate judge. The relevant factors to be considered when occupation rent is at issue in a family law context are (Non Chhom v. Green, 2023 ONCA 692 (CanLII), at para 9) are:

- the timing of the claim for occupation rent (ie. **since 2017**, immediately after our third and final separation)
- the duration of the occupancy (ie. 20161201 to present: **8 years, 7 months and 8 days** as husband continues to leverage accomplices including, but not limited to, those positioned in Ottawa's Criminal Court as evidenced at "Party to Offence" published at <https://twb.rocks/party-to-offence>);
- the inability of the non-resident spouse to realize on their equity in the property (ie. 100% as husband cancelled the BMO Home Equity line of credit and BMO has refused to provide any

meaningful records);

- any reasonable credits to be set off against occupation rent (uncertain as the BMO mortgage was renewed behind my back, without my consent); and
- any other competing claims in the litigation (ie. none that have materialized thus far (due to Family Court's "**Delay - Deny - Destroy**" tactics) as evidenced by outdated list of damages that I am already permitted to seek at https://twb.rocks/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/Ex- A-20180111-Amended-Answer_Excerpt_Damages-Sought-in-Family-court-MOORE.pdf) following the 2017 precedent-setting decision of SCJ's D. Summers.)

In addition, the following factors have also recently been considered:

- the conduct of the non-occupying spouse, including the failure to pay support (ie. he pays zero as my income remains practically non-existent as evidenced at Notices of Assessment published at <https://twb.rocks/domestic-terrorism/perpetrators/individuals/john-kiska/fc-15-2446/2025-urgent-motion>);
- the conduct of the occupying spouse, including the failure to pay support (ie. he lied to rip my children from my life (see <https://twb.rocks/organized-crime/entity/cas>) and lied **to have me arrested** so the 2019 divorce trial would be cancelled (see evidence linked to <https://twb.rocks/domestic-terrorism/perpetrators/individuals/john-kiska> at 20190726);

The continued forced impoverishment and destitution of a previously healthy, affluent and devoted mother by an abusive husband not only makes a mockery of Ottawa's Family Court, but Canada's federal *Divorce Act* and its entire legal-judicial/parliamentary process in general. Unless there is a drastic and immediate reversal in the ongoing terrorization and torture of me, it would seem that the time spent amending this *Act* to codify protections from domestic violence (see Bill C-78 Time Allocation Motion: David Lametti/Arif Virani re-published at item #9 at FC-15-2446 **Request to 20240710 Admit #4** at <https://twb.rocks/domestic-terrorism/perpetrators/individuals/john-kiska/fc-15-2446/form-22-request-to-admit-20240710>) was merely taxpayer-funded theatre; **an advertisement for Canada's public image and nothing more.**

Deirdre Moore / Andee Jak
President, SAQOTU Inc.
www.twb.ROCKS/party-to-offence



From: Catherine Laham <claham@bellbaker.com>
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2025 2:15 PM
To: Ottawa SCJ TC Office <ottawascj.tc.office@ontario.ca>
Cc: Cheryl Hess <CHess@bellbaker.com>; ascjak@outlook.com <ascjak@outlook.com>
Subject: RE: 20210609 endorsement, Para. 16(b) || Re: Urgent || Fw: Court-ordered next steps for FC-15-2446 ...

Good afternoon,

I am Cheryl Hess' assistant. I wish to advise that Ms. Hess is currently away on holidays and will provide her response upon her return to the office. She will next be in the office on July 2, 2025.

Thank you,

Catherine Laham
Law Clerk to Cheryl Hess
BELL BAKER LLP
Suite 700, 116 Lisgar Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0C2
Tel: (613) 237-3448 Ext#404, Fax (613) 237-1413
claham@bellbaker.com

From: Cheryl Hess <CHess@bellbaker.com>
Sent: June 27, 2025 1:36 PM
To: Catherine Laham <claham@bellbaker.com>
Subject: FW: 20210609 endorsement, Para. 16(b) || Re: Urgent || Fw: Court-ordered next steps for FC-15-2446 ...

Please tell them I am holiday and will respond upon my return
thanks

From: Ottawa SCJ TC Office <OttawaSCJ.TC.Office@ontario.ca>
Sent: June 27, 2025 1:34 PM
To: Andee Sea Cae Jak <ascjak@outlook.com>
Cc: Cheryl Hess <CHess@bellbaker.com>
Subject: RE: 20210609 endorsement, Para. 16(b) || Re: Urgent || Fw: Court-ordered next steps for FC-15-2446 ...

Good afternoon,

May we please get the position of the other party?

Best regards,

Constance Ross

Assistant Trial Coordinator – SCJ-East Region | Court Services Division- Family
Ministry Attorney General | Ontario Public Service
| ottawascj.tc.office@ontario.ca



Taking pride in strengthening Ontario, its places and its people

This electronic message (email) may contain PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION only for use of the Addressee(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this email or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this email and/or copying this email and/or any attachment files is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender and arrange for the return of an and all copies and the permanent deletion of this email and any attachments. Thank you.

Ce courrier électronique (courriel) peut contenir des renseignements PRIVILÉGIÉS ET CONFIDENTIELS destinés exclusivement aux personnes dont le nom figure ci-haut. Si vous n'êtes pas le destinataire de ce document ou l'employé ou l'agent responsable de le livrer à son destinataire, vous êtes par la présente avisé qu'il est strictement interdit de distribuer ou copier ce courriel et/ou pièce jointe. Si celui-ci vous est parvenu par erreur, veuillez aviser immédiatement l'expéditeur et organiser le retour de toutes copies et la suppression permanente de ce message et les pièces jointes. Merci.

From: Andee Sea Cae Jak <ascjak@outlook.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2025 3:23 PM

To: Ottawa SCJ TC Office <OttawaSCJ.TC.Office@ontario.ca>

Cc: Cheryl Hess <CHess@bellbaker.com>; Ottawa SCJ TC Office <OttawaSCJ.TC.Office@ontario.ca>

Subject: 20210609 endorsement, Para. 16(b) || Re: Urgent || Fw: Court-ordered next steps for FC-15-2446 ...

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Ms. Ross,

The hearing "type" and purpose was specified at para. 16(b) of the 20210609 Endorsement (linked below).

As evidenced by:

- the 11/12-volume Continuing Record for FC-15-2446 and
- the taxpayer-funded retaliatory maneuver that was FC-19-CP08,

my husband has successfully circumvented Canada's federal Divorce Act ("DA") since 2015 by leveraging/exploiting provincial legislation** including Ontario's Courts of Justice Act (Family Law Rules) and its Child, Youth and Family Services Act. Accordingly, I remain forcibly married and denied all federal DA-codified protections from domestic violence including, but not limited to, access to income, access to my children and access to my assets.

SCJ's Pamela MacEachern endorsed/forced the ONLY possible next step in her endorsement at para. 16(b):



[Ex-AN-20210609-](#)

[Endorsement court-enabled-fraud-](#)
[continues-MACEACHERN](#)

[PDF Document · 596 KB](#)

Despite the errors in law, errors in fact and errors in mixed law and fact, her decision could not be successfully appealed; so, this never-ending divorce has mushroomed into one that reeks of multi-faceted scandal.

This [ordered] "case management conference" should address:

- a) that my 2018 Amended Answer requires revision to include my husband's 2018-2024 crimes and violations of civil law (from which I continue to suffer),
- b) that the fraudulently-obtained, fraudulent 2018 temporary support order requires amendment to reflect reality (retroactively) and not entirely falsified claims regarding income and

c) that communication with my fully-deceived children has been perpetually and cruelly denied to ensure not only parental alienation but (likely) irreversible child alienation (when the 2018 OCL recommendation was that I, as the superior parent, receive sole custody).

As my children (who were unlawfully ordered into the hands of my abusive husband despite the fact that “custody and access” fell within the jurisdiction of the federal Divorce Act) are now adults with whom I’ve been denied communication (or even a simple photograph) for over six years, evidence of this undeniable aspect of his abuse should support the extensive damage award that I already received leave to seek from Justice Summers in 2017.

November 2025 will mark one full decade of court-enabled domestic violence and child abuse: I would think that any reasonable court would prioritize remedy for the clear injustices that have been permitted to transpire.

Deirdre Moore / Andee Jak

*my husband also weaponized Canada’s federal Criminal Code via numerous false allegations spanning from 2019 (to have the original divorce trial cancelled) to 20240216.

On Jun 25, 2025, at 3:44 PM, Ottawa SCJ TC Office <OttawaSCJ.TC.Office@ontario.ca> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Could you please clarify what you are trying to schedule and the purpose of the request?

Best regards,

Constance Ross

Assistant Trial Coordinator – SCJ-East Region | Court Services Division- Family

Ministry Attorney General | Ontario Public Service

| ottawascj.tc.office@ontario.ca

<image001.png>

Taking pride in strengthening Ontario, its places and its people

This electronic message (email) may contain PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION only for use of the Addressee(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this email or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this email and/or copying this email and/or any attachment files is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender and arrange for the return of an and all copies and the permanent deletion of this email and any attachments. Thank you.

Ce courrier électronique (courriel) peut contenir des renseignements PRIVILÉGIÉS ET CONFIDENTIELS destinés exclusivement aux personnes dont le nom figure ci-haut. Si vous n’êtes pas le destinataire de ce document ou l’employé ou l’agent responsable de le livrer à son destinataire, vous êtes par la présente avisé qu’il est strictement interdit de distribuer ou copier ce courriel et/ou pièce jointe. Si celui-ci vous est parvenu par erreur, veuillez aviser immédiatement l’expéditeur et organiser le retour de toutes copies et la suppression permanente de ce message et les pièces jointes. Merci.

From: Andee Sea Cae Jak <ascjak@outlook.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 11:36 AM

To: Ottawa SCJ TC Office <OttawaSCJ.TC.Office@ontario.ca>

Cc: Cheryl Hess <CHess@bellbaker.com>

Subject: Fwd: Urgent || Fw: Court-ordered next steps for FC-15-2446 ...

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender.

Kindly schedule the 20210609-endorsed case management conference for above-noted file as soon as possible.

Deirdre Moore

(Previous thread removed from e-mail, as requested)

Verily,

Deirdre Moore / Andee Jak
President, SAQOTU Inc.

www.twb.ROCKS

<image002.jpg>