



Search



Home



My Network



Jobs



Messaging



Notifications



Me



Work

Try Premium Free
for 1 Month

How to differentiate Blind Justice from Wilfull Blindness

Published on November 8, 2019



Deirdre Moore

Making Change Happen!

16 articles + Follow

by Deirdre Moore, CFA, BBA :: November 8, 2019 at 7:30 a.m.

The Greek statue for justice is wearing a blindfold so she cannot see the bribes being offered to her, hence [blind justice](#).

[Wilfull blindness](#), on the hand, is a term used in law to describe a situation in which a person seeks to avoid civil or criminal liability for a wrongful act by intentionally keeping himself or herself unaware of facts that would render him or her liable or implicated.

This morning I must attend court because my ex-husband, Jonathan Kiska (whom I have been desperately trying to divorce since 2015), asked Prosecutor Malcom Savage to change my bail conditions. (see [Crown's 20191106 Application](#))

My ex-husband does not want me to continue to "Raise Awareness on the Fragility of Mental Health when in an Abusive Relationship"; however, it is one of the key objectives of my company, SAQOTU Inc., which I incorporated in 2016 once I left him for the third and final time.

- He claims that my posts to LinkedIn constitute Criminal Harassment. A review of the *Criminal Code* does not support that claim. (see [section 264](#))
- He claims that my posts constitute defamation; however, all of my statements are true whereas defamation deals with statements that are false ... in [section 300](#) of the *Criminal Code*.



Messaging



Search

Defamatory libel is not well defined in sections 298 and 299 of the *Criminal Code*: there is no reference to truth. So, I will be left to my own devices to argue whether or not my not-for-profit aspirations, following his decimation of my professional reputation in the financial services industry, constitutes "lawful justification or excuse".

My response to Mr. Savage's "Emergency Application" is [here](#). As I had merely 24 hours to draft, compile, copy, serve and file my response, it was the best that I could do working from my bail house bedroom. My exhibits do, however, clearly demonstrate that I have been working on my not-for-profit initiative with earnest. (Other documents in FC-15-2246-0 prove that my efforts began in 2015 when I was also serving on the Board of Directors for the Canadian Mental Health Association in Ottawa.)

Allegations against me aside, given that Prosecutor Malcolm Savage has:

- Been directed to the evidence contained in divorce file 15-FC-2446-0 (which has a copy of my [2017 Factum](#) that *proves* I am a victim of psychiatric abuse) and
- Received—and acknowledged receipt of—many documents by fax, mail and e-mail from me that *prove* my ex-husband is an abusive, pathological liar guilty of the psychiatric abuse that resulted in misdiagnoses, forced hospitalizations and treatment plans as well as severe emotional and financial abuse

how could he have reasonably served on me and filed his 20191106 Application?

Of particular concern is that Mr. Savage continues to state to the court that I have been “diagnosed with various mental health issues”.

Albeit it true, the reality is that I have been diagnosed with two mental illnesses: the right one (situational, caused by "stress") and the wrong one (chronic, based on ex-husband's hearsay). The legal strategy of character assassination has clearly followed me from the Family Branch of the Superior Court of Justice to Criminal Court. Such statements constitute defamatory libel as defined by sections 298 and 299 and are punishable pursuant to sections 300 and 301 of the *Criminal Code of Canada*.

Therefore, if I am not arrested and detained for being falsely accused of defamatory libel (or whatever else) after this morning's 10:00 a.m. hearing, then I will proceed to Ottawa Police Services on Elgin Street to submit my evidence and file a formal complaint against Assistant Crown Attorney Malcolm Savage for violating sections 298, 299 of the *Criminal Code* and seek punishment as defined in section 300 (five years) vs. section 301 (two years).

Report this

Published by

Deirdre Moore
Making Change Happen!
Published • 2mo

16 articles + Follow

 Messaging



Search

The article addresses wilfull blindness of the Crown Attorney's Office (vs. blind Justice that it is supposed to uphold) and serves as a pre-cursor to a template that will teach victims of the judicial process how to compile evidence against a prosecutor.

#Canada #Ontario #Ottawa #SAQOTU #PFI #Andee #Wilfull blindness #Judicial #Prosecution

Try Premium Free
for 1 Month

Like Comment Share

0 Comments



Add a comment...



Deirdre Moore

Making Change Happen!

+ Follow

More from Deirdre Moore

Defending Mothers who Commit a
Six-minute Crime

Deirdre Moore on LinkedIn

How to Prepare a Complaint to the
Law Society of Ontario

Deirdre Moore on LinkedIn

ANOTHER EVENING OF EXPOSING
CORRUPTION IN OTTAWA,
ONTARIO, CANADA

Deirdre Moore on LinkedIn

Preparing for Future Class Actions
against Vaping Magnates: Juul Labs,
Vype, etc., etc.

Deirdre Moore on LinkedIn

[See all 16 articles](#)



Messaging